

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART  
OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP  
2 David Eiseman (Bar No. 114758)  
Albert P. Bedecarré (Bar No. 148178)  
3 Carl G. Anderson (Bar No. 239927)  
Emily C. O'Brien (Bar No. 240072)  
4 50 California Street, 22nd Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111  
5 Telephone: (415) 875-6600  
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700  
6 davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com  
albedecarre@quinnemanuel.com  
7 carlanderson@quinnemanuel.com  
emilyobrien@quinnemanuel.com

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
9 Siliconix incorporated

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP  
Peter P. Chen (Bar No. 111426)  
Bijal V. Vakil (Bar No. 192878)  
3150 Porter Drive  
Palo Alto, California 94304-1212  
Telephone: 650.813.5000  
Facsimile: 650.813.5100  
pchen@mwe.com  
bvakil@mwe.com

Attorneys for Defendants  
Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. and  
Panasonic Corporation of North America

10  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14 SILICONIX INCORPORATED, )  
15 Plaintiff, )  
16 v. )  
17 DENSO CORPORATION and TD SCAN )  
(U.S.A.), INC., )  
18 Defendant. )

CASE NO. C 05-01507 WHA  
**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER TO DISMISS ALL CLAIMS AND  
COUNTERCLAIMS WITH PREJUDICE IN  
CASE NO. C 05-03617 WHA**

Judge: The Honorable William H. Alsup

19 AND CONSOLIDATED ACTION )  
20 NO. C 05-03617 WHA. )  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**STIPULATION**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a) and Civil Local Rule 7-12, Plaintiff Siliconix incorporated (“Siliconix”) on the one hand, and Defendants Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. (“Matsushita”) and Panasonic Corporation of North America (“Panasonic”) on the other hand, through their respective counsel of record, stipulate as follows:

1. All claims in Siliconix’s Complaint for Patent Infringement, filed in Case No. C 05-03617 WHA on September 8, 2005, are dismissed with prejudice.

2. All counterclaims in Matsushita and Panasonic’s Answer to Complaint and Counterclaims, filed in Case No. C 05-03617 WHA on November 23, 2005, are dismissed with prejudice.

3. Siliconix, Matsushita, and Panasonic shall bear their own costs and attorneys’ fees in connection with their prosecution and defense of the above-referenced claims and counterclaims.

DATED: September 20, 2006

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART  
OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP

By /s/ David Eiseman  
David Eiseman  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Siliconix incorporated.

DATED: September 20, 2006


McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

By /s/ Peter P. Chen  
Peter P. Chen  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. and  
Panasonic Corporation of North America

**ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 21, 2006



The Honorable William H. Alsup  
United States District Court Judge